RCC/16/60
\$ RECEIV

RCC/16/60

RC

DEL 0 2 2002 3

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL						CENTER		
Address t		to: Commissioner for Patents Box RCE Washington, D.C. 20231		Attorney Docket No. Application No. Filing Date: First Named Inventor: Group Art Unit: Examiner Name:	02-731-C 09/674,815 December 7, 2000 Akira Aomatsu 1614 Brian Yong S. Kwon	1600/2900		
This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. §1.114 of the above-identified application.								
1.	1. Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114  a. Previously submitted i. Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on July 30, 2002.  ii. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on  iii. Other  b. Enclosed i. Amendment/Reply ii. Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) iii. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) iv. Other							
2.	Misce a. b.	llane	Suspension of action on the above-id	ths. (Period of suspensic		R.		

	APPLICATION FEES	
BASIC FEE		\$ 740.00
	920.00+\$	\$ 920.00
□ Other	+\$	\$
	Total of above calculations =	\$
	Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity =	\$( )
	TOTAL =	\$ 1660.00

12/05/2002 AWDNDAF1 00000086 09674815

01 FC:1801

740.00 QP



REGEST TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No. 02-731-C					
3. Please charge my Deposit Account No. 13-2490 in the a	mount of \$.					
4.   Two checks in the amount of \$740.00 and \$920.00 is end	closed.					
<ul> <li>The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit overpayments or charge any additional fees of the following types to Deposit Account No. 13-2490:</li> </ul>						
a. 🛛 Fees required under 37 CFR 1.16. b. 🖾 Fees required under 37 CFR 1.17.	1600/2 <b>900</b>					
c. Sees required under 37 CFR 1.17.	22					
c. 🖂 Tees required under 37 OFK 1.16.	90					
6. The Commissioner is hereby generally authorized under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(3) to treat any future reply in this or any related application filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53 requiring an extension of time as incorporating a request therefor, and the Commissioner is hereby specifically authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 13-2490 for any fee that may be due in connection with such a request for an extension of time.						
7. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRAN	SMISSION					
☐ I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 703-308-4556, or being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to :Commissioner for Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231 on the date indicated below.  ☐ I hereby certify that, under 37 CFR § 1.10, I directed that the correspondence identified above be deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231, on the date indicated below. Express Mail No. EL604657831US.						
8. USPTO CUSTOMER NUMBE	R					
20306 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE						
McDonnoll Booknon Hulbert & Borghoff						

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoft

9. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED						
Name	Stephen H. Docter					
Reg. No.	44,659					
Signature	Stylen H. Dotter					
Date	December 2, 2002					

RCE (Rev. 11/27/00)

OIPE	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/674,815	AOMATSU, AKIRA						
DEC 0 2 2002 W	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Brian S Kwon	1614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN CONDITION VOID Abandonment of this applied to a timely filed amendment whimal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);						
(b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🔀 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	ould be rejected is provided believed	ow or appended						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		<b>声</b> _						
Claim(s) allowed:		爰급						
Claim(s) objected to:		DEC CENTE						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 18-22</u> .		型。光						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		6 2 16 16						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examer. 2002						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	— <b>5</b> D						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 18-22.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on is  9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement Other:	P.T	ZOHREH FAY IMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200						
5. Patent and Trademark Office		cunt )						

Application No.

## Gontinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/674,815



Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Applicants proposed amendment raises the issue of new matter. Applicants recitation of "provided that when the 4-amino-3-substituted-butanoic acid derivative is gabapentin and the alpha-amino acid is a methyl-aspartic acid, the methyl aspartic acid is not methyl-D-aspartic acid" in claim 1 was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It appears that applicants were never contemplated of using specifically "methyl-aspartic acid, the methyl aspartic acid is not methyl-D-aspartic acid" as a stabilizer when the 4-maino-3-subsituted-butanoic acid derivative is gabapentin. Therefore, the prosed amendment will not be entered.

In addition, the prosposed amendment in claim 24 fails to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The scope of the claim 24 appears to be broader than parent claim 1 by the inclusion of glycine and 4-amino-3-substituted-butanoic acid derivative combination when R2 is a phenyl or naphthyl group which is mono, di, or tri-substituted with a halogen atom. Such inconsistency make the claim 24 indefinite of what is the claimed subject matter.